

dealers are required to submit these reports to the Board by certified or registered mail, or some other equally prompt means that provides a record of sending.⁷⁴ The Board will then make these documents available to the public for inspection and photocopying at its Public Access Facility in Alexandria, Virginia, and for review by agencies charged with enforcement of Board rules.

Recordkeeping Requirements

To facilitate compliance with, and enforcement of, proposed rule G-38, the Board also proposes to amend existing rules G-8 and G-9, concerning recordkeeping and record retention, respectively. The proposed amendments to rule G-8 require dealers to maintain: (i) A listing of the name, company, role and compensation arrangement of each consultant; (ii) a copy of each Consultant Agreement; (iii) a listing of the compensation paid in connection with each Consultant Agreement; (iv) where applicable, a listing of the municipal securities business obtained or retained in connection with each Consultant Agreement; (v) a listing of the issuers and a record of disclosures made to such issuers concerning consultants used by the dealer to obtain or retain municipal securities business with each such issuer; and (vi) the date of termination of any consultant arrangement. The amendment to rule G-9 requires dealers to maintain these records for a six-year period.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 35 days of the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register or within such longer period (i) As the Commission may designate up to 90 days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding, or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will: (A) By order approve such proposed rule change, or (B) institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing. The Commission requests that, in addition to any general comments

concerning whether the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 15(b)(2)(C) of the Act, commentators address whether the proposed definition of consultant needs to be amended to encompass instances in which third parties initiate contact with prospective underwriters to offer their services in obtaining or retaining municipal securities business through direct or indirect communications by such person with an issuer official. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20549. Copies of the submissions, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those they may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room. Copies of the filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the Board's principal offices. All submissions should refer to File No. SR-MSRB-95-15 and should be submitted by December 26, 1995.

For the Commission by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority, 17 U.S.C. 200.30-3(a)(12).

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-29513 Filed 12-4-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

[File No. 1-3779]

Issuer Delisting; Notice of Application to Withdraw From Listing and Registration; San Diego Gas & Electric Company, (5.0% Cumulative Preferred, \$20 Per Value, 4.5% Cumulative Preferred Stock, \$20 Par Value, 4.4% Cumulative Preferred Stock, \$20 Par Value, Cumulative Preferred Stock, \$7.20 Series, No Par Value, Cumulative Preferred Stock, \$1.82 Series, No Par Value)

November 28, 1995.

San Diego Gas & Electric Company ("Company") has filed an application with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section 12(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") and Rule 12d2-2(d) promulgated thereunder, to withdraw the above specified securities ("Securities") from listing and

registration on the Pacific Stock Exchange Incorporated ("PSE").

The reasons alleged in the application for withdrawing the Securities from listing and registration include the following:

According to the Company, it cannot justify the direct and indirect costs and expenses attendant to maintaining the dual listing of the Securities on the American Stock Exchange, Inc. ("AMEX") and on the PSE. The Company is paying \$2,000.00 per year to maintain its listings on the PSE with no significant benefit to its shareholders. The Company believes that a single listing on the Amex will be sufficient to serve the needs of its shareholders.

Any interested person may, on or before December 19, 1995, submit by letter to the Secretary of the Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549, facts bearing upon whether the application has been made in accordance with the rules of the exchanges and what terms if any, should be imposed by the Commission for the protection of investors. The Commission, based on the information submitted to it, will issue an order granting the application after the date mentioned above, unless the Commission determines to order a hearing on the matter.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

Jonathan G. Katz,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-29512 Filed 12-4-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[Declaration of Disaster Loan Area #2821]

Alaska; Declaration of Disaster Loan Area

Kenai Peninsula Borough and the contiguous areas of Lake and Peninsula Borough, Matanuska-Susitna Borough, the Municipality of Anchorage, the Chugach Regional Education Attendance Area and the Iditarod Regional Education Attendance Area in the State of Alaska constitute a disaster area as a result of damages caused by flooding which occurred from September 18 through September 24, 1995. Applications for loans for physical damages as a result of this disaster may be filed until the close of business on *January 29, 1996*, and for economic injury until the close of business on *August 28, 1996*, at the

⁷⁴ For ease of compliance, the Board has included the Rule G-37 Filing Procedures within the language of rule G-37, and has included the Rule G-38 Filing Procedures within the language of new rule G-38.

address listed below: U.S. Small Business Administration, Disaster Area 4 Office, P.O. Box 13795, Sacramento, CA 95853-4795 or other locally announced locations.

The interest rates are:

	Per- cent
For Physical Damage:	
Homeowners With Credit Available Elsewhere	8.000
Homeowners Without Credit Available Elsewhere	4.000
Businesses With Credit Available Elsewhere	8.000
Businesses and Non-Profit Organizations Without Credit Available Elsewhere	4.000
Others (Including Non-Profit Organizations) With Credit Available Elsewhere	7.125
For Economic Injury:	
Businesses and Small Agricultural Cooperatives Without Credit Available Elsewhere	4.000

The number assigned to this disaster for physical damage is 282106 and for economic injury the number is 868700.

Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 59002 and 59008.

Dated: November 28, 1995.

Philip Lader,
Administrator.

[FR Doc. 95-29525 Filed 12-4-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Notice of Applications for Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity and Foreign Air Carrier Permits Filed Under Subpart Q During the Week Ending September 15, 1995

The following Applications for Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity and Foreign Air Carrier Permits were filed under Subpart Q of the Department of Transportation's Procedural Regulations (See 14 CFR 302.1701 et. seq.). The due date for Answers, Conforming Applications, or Motions to modify Scope are set forth below for each application. Following the Answer period DOT may process the application by expedited procedures. Such procedures may consist of the adoption of a show-cause order, a tentative order, or in appropriate cases a final order without further proceedings.

Docket Number: OST-95-634.

Date filed: September 11, 1995.

Due Date for Answers, Conforming Applications, or Motion to Modify Scope: October 9, 1995.

Description: Application of Horizon Air Industries, Inc. d/b/a Horizon Air pursuant to 49 U.S.C. Section 41102, applies for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing service between any point in the United States and any point in Canada, subject however to the restrictions on service to Toronto, Montreal and Vancouver contained in the most recent bilateral air service treaty between the United States and Canada.

Myrna F. Adams,

Acting Chief, Documentary Services Division.
[FR Doc. 95-29500 Filed 12-04-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-62-P

Federal Aviation Administration

Approval of Noise Compatibility Program, Southwest Florida International Airport, Ft. Myers, FL

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) announces its findings on the noise compatibility program submitted by the Lee County Port Authority under the provisions of Title I of the Aviation Safety and Noise Abatement Act of 1979 (Pub. L. 96-193) and 14 CFR Part 150. These findings are made in recognition of the description of Federal and nonfederal responsibilities in Senate Report No. 96-52 (1980). On November 21, 1994, the FAA determined that the noise exposure maps submitted by the Lee County Port Authority under Part 150 were in compliance with applicable requirements. On May 17, 1995, the FAA determined that the revised future noise exposure map was in compliance with applicable requirements. On November 13, 1995, the Administrator approved the Southwest Florida International Airport noise compatibility program. All of the recommendations of the program were approved.

EFFECTIVE DATE: The effective date of the FAA's approval of the Southwest Florida International Airport noise compatibility program is November 13, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Tommy J. Pickering, P.E., Federal Aviation Administration, Orlando Airports District Office, 9677 Tradeport Drive, Suite 130, Orlando, Florida 32827-5397, (407) 648-6583, Extension 29. Documents reflecting this FAA action may be reviewed at this same location.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice announces that the FAA has given its overall approval to the noise compatibility program for Southwest Florida International Airport, effective November 13, 1995.

Under Section 104(a) of the Aviation Safety and Noise Abatement Act of 1979 (hereinafter referred to as "the Act"), an airport operator who has previously submitted a noise exposure map may submit to the FAA a noise compatibility program which sets forth the measures taken or proposed by the airport operator for the reduction of existing noncompatible land uses and prevention of additional noncompatible land uses within the area covered by the noise exposure maps. The Act requires such programs to be developed in consultation with interested and affected parties including local communities, government agencies, airport users, and FAA personnel.

Each airport noise compatibility program developed in accordance with Federal Aviation Regulations (FAR) Part 150 is a local program, not a Federal program. The FAA does not substitute its judgment for that of the airport proprietor with respect to which measure should be recommended for action. The FAA's approval or disapproval of FAR Part 150 program recommendations is measured according to the standards expressed in Part 150 and the Act, and is limited to the following determinations:

a. The noise compatibility program was developed in accordance with the provisions and procedures of FAR Part 150;

b. Program measures are reasonably consistent with achieving the goals of reducing existing noncompatible land uses around the airport and preventing the introduction of additional noncompatible land uses;

c. Program measures would not create an undue burden on interstate or foreign commerce, unjustly discriminate against types or classes of aeronautical users, violate the terms of airport grant agreements, or intrude into areas preempted by the Federal government; and

d. Program measures relating to the use of light procedures can be implemented within the period covered by the program without derogating safety, adversely affecting the efficient use and management of the navigable airspace and air traffic control systems, or adversely affecting other powers and responsibilities of the Administrator prescribed by law.

Specific limitations with respect to FAA's approval of an airport noise compatibility program are delineated in